

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

					# # 1/1
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAME	DINVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/447,077	11/22/99	BOOKBINDER		D	16-6-1
厂 022 <del>9</del> 28		IM22/1002	一		EXAMINER
CORNING INCORPORATED		ak I Islandar 7 de Sa' Sa' de		MANLOVI	E,S
SP-TI-3-1				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CORNING NY 1	4831			1772	4
				DATE MAILE	<b>D</b> :

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/447,077	BOOKBINDER ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Shalie A. Manlove	1772				
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ree to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be tily within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a)□		nis action is non-final.					
3)							
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-49 is/are pending in the application	١.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)[	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠	Claims <u>1-49</u> are subject to restriction and/or of	election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	to by the Examiner.					
	The proposed drawing correction filed on		proved.				
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		, , , , ,				
/.	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu	rity documents have been receive					
* 8	See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.				
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e).				
Attachmen	t(s)						
16) 🔲 Noti	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	19) Notice of Informa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 01-01)

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/447,077

Art Unit: 1772

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-33, drawn to a method, classified in class 427, subclass 163.
- II. Claims 34-49, drawn to a product, classified in class 350, subclass 96.34.The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 2. Inventions Group I and Group II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as vapor deposition. The step of removing the protective layer after Subsequent processing of the intermediate product.

  3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1772

6. A telephone call was made to Robert Carlson on September 27, 2001 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR) 1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shalie A. Manlove whose telephone number is (703) 308-8275. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00- 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Y. Pyon can be reached on (703) 308-4251. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3599 for regular communications and (703) 305 3599 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Shalie A. Manlove Examiner Art Unit 1772

September 27, 2001

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER /0/1/0/